

I am completely dissatisfied with the way FCC is trying censoring what is put on to the public airwaves. On one hand the FCC penalizes for minor incident as a Janet Jackson's breast being exposed on the TV, on the other hand it overlooks major company trying to sway public opinion during presidential election with slander and propaganda. What is worst? Being exposed to breast or being brainwashed by the right media machinery. I will take the breast over propaganda any day. In public interest the FCC should put our tax money into making sure that no one will use the free public airwaves into their political agenda. Please make sure that the Sinclair company provides valuable public service while using public space and not to put on propaganda like the one they intend to in the 1 hour anti-Kerry document unless they also make equally valid propaganda for anti-Bush. Otherwise I completely agree with the statement below provided by the Stop Sinclair. Sincerely Martin Novak

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.